Measurements of $A_{LL}^{\pi^0}$ in p+p Collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 200 GeV and Their Impact on Determination of the Gluon Spin in the Proton



Andrew Manion
for the PHENIX Collaboration
21st International Symposium on Spin Physics
Beijing, China

X'ed Out - Charles Burns

Double Longitudinal Spin Asymmetries

- In p+p scattering:
  - proton spin parallel (positive helicity) or antiparallel with its momentum vector:



- "Double Longitudinal Spin Asymmetry" then defined in terms of cross-sections:

$$A_{LL} = \frac{(\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{--}) - (\sigma^{+-} + \sigma^{-+})}{(\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{--}) + (\sigma^{+-} + \sigma^{-+})}$$

- Ultimately want to connect to spin of proton constituents, e.g.:



Sum Rules

- Charge sum rule
  - assumes zero strangeness

$$Q_{proton} = 1 = \int_0^1 dx\ x\left(\frac{2}{3}[u(x) - \bar{u}(x)] - \frac{1}{3}[d(x) - \bar{d}(x)]\right)$$

- Momentum sum rule
  - quark term <50% of momentum
    - gluon contributes >50%

$$P_{proton} = P_{quark} + P_{gluon}$$
$$= \int_0^1 dx\ x\left([u(x) + \bar{u}(x)] + [d(x) + \bar{d}(x)] + [s(x) + \bar{s}(x)]\right) + \int_0^1 dx\ xg(x)$$

- Spin sum rule
  - quark spin, gluon spin, OAM
  - DIS experiments find quark spin contribution only 25-35%

$$S_{proton} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \Delta G + L_q + L_g$$

$$\Delta G = \int_0^1 dx\ \Delta g(x), \quad \Delta\Sigma = \int_0^1 dx\ \left([\Delta u(x) + \Delta\bar{u}(x)] + [\Delta d(x) + \Delta\bar{d}(x)] + [\Delta s(x) + \Delta\bar{s}(x)]\right)$$

Factorization in p+p



- How to interpret A_{LL}?
- Known a priori:
  - parton-parton cross sections (calculable in pQCD)
  - *including gluon scattering!*
- Ingredients from other experiments:
  - Fragmentation functions (from e+e- scattering)
  - quark (p)PDFs
- Assume "factorization:"

$$A_{LL} = \frac{\sum_{abc} \Delta f_a(x_1, \mu_F^2) \otimes \Delta f_b(x_2, \mu_F^2) \otimes \Delta\sigma^{a+b\to c+X}(x_1, x_2, p_c, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2, \mu_{FF}^2) \otimes D_c^h(z, \mu_{FF}^2)}{\sum_{abc} f_a(x_1, \mu_F^2) \otimes f_b(x_2, \mu_F^2) \otimes \sigma^{a+b\to c+X}(x_1, x_2, p_c, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2, \mu_{FF}^2) \otimes D_c^h(z, \mu_{FF}^2)}$$

polarized PDF

partonic reaction a+b -> c

partonic x-sect

fragmentation function

- Factorization verified in each case by checking denominator against absolute x-section

RHIC: Relativisitic Heavy Ion Collider



- Variable√s: **62.4**, **200**, **500** GeV

most of this talk — Next talk

- Up to 120 proton bunches rotating in each ring
- Polarization can be chosen on a bunch-by-bunch basis, e.g.



- Spin Rotators allow polarization axis to be made transverse, longitudinal, or radial at different experiments
- Overall polarization P_B, P_Y, measured precisely by pCarbon polarimeters, and normalized to accurate Hydrogen-jet polarimeter meas.
- Polarization axis must be measured individually at each experiment

The PHENIX Experiment at RHIC

- **Central arms**
  - $|\eta| < 0.375$, $\Delta\varphi = (\pi/2) \times 2$
  - Tracking
    - **D**rift **C**hamber (Multi-Wire Proportional)
    - **P**ad **C**hambers
  - Particle ID
    - **R**ing **I**maging **Ch**erenkov detector
    - **H**adron **B**lind **D**etector (Gas Electron Multiplier) in '09 and '10
  - EM Calorimetry
    - Two separate technologies for cross-check
    - Lead-Scintillator (**PbSc**)
      - sampling calorimeter
    - Lead-Glass (**PbSc**)
      - Cherenkov radiation calorimeter

- **Forward arms**
  - Tracking, Calorimetry, Muon Identification
  - Minbias detectors
    - **Z**ero **D**egree **C**alorimeter:
    - $\Delta\eta = \pm(3.1 \text{ to } 3.9)$, $|z| = 18$m
      - outside of bending field, sees neutrals
    - **B**eam-**B**eam **C**ounter: $|\Delta\eta| = > 6$, $|z| = 1.4$m
      - reconstruct collision z-vertex online with ~5cm resolution



A_{LL} in π^0 Production

- π^0 is the highest statistics PHENIX central arm probe
  - decay photon separation out to p_T of 10GeV/c
- q-g and g-g sub-processes at low p_T

→ excellent constraint of ΔG





- Agreement with pQCD means we can use (unpolarized) factorization in interpreting our A_{LL}

Analysis Technique

- Analyze through the γγ decay channel
  - B.R. 99%for π^0
  - Can also analyze η meson, 39% B.R.
- Count signal region (red) and sideband region (blue) counts in ++ and +- helicity crossings:

$$A_{LL} = \frac{1}{P_B P_Y}\left(\frac{N^{++} - RN^{+-}}{N^{++} + RN^{+-}}\right), \quad R \approx \frac{N^{++}_{BBC}}{N^{+-}_{BBC}}$$

- Relative Luminosity R is measured using minbias BBC scalars
  - largest systematic uncertainty from confidence that BBC sees zero asymmetry
- Interpolate combinatorial B.G. shape under peak to get background fraction "r"

$$A^{\pi^0}_{LL} = \frac{A^{signal}_{LL} - r A^{sides}_{LL}}{(1-r)}$$



Advantage:

- mass peak
  -> directly count π^0s
- choose cuts to minimize total uncertainty

Systematic Uncertainties

- Polarization measurement
  - Scale uncertainty, mostly from molecular hydrogen contamination of H-jet target
- Event overlap in the EMCal
  - creates non-zero BG asymmetry that can depend on $m_{\gamma\gamma}$
  - controlled by cuts/careful binning of analysis
- Relative Luminosity

$$A_{LL} = \frac{1}{P_B P_Y}\left(\frac{N^{++} - RN^{+-}}{N^{++} + RN^{+-}}\right) \quad R \approx \frac{N^{++}_{BBC}}{N^{+-}_{BBC}}$$

  But is the BBC also sensitive to a physics A_{LL}?

- Cross-check Asymmetries
  - Measurements of parity violating, 180 rotational asymmetries should be zero

Event Overlap in EMCal Readout

- Events from previous crossings contribute background to current crossing

- Certain spin patterns follow empty bunches
  - see less of this BG than other spin patterns
  - leads to false BG asymmetry
  - can have $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ dependence



- Strategy:
  - cuts to eliminate $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ dependence
  - analyze spin patterns separately

Event Overlap in EMCal Readout

- Time of Flight cut
  - present crossing: σ_z
  - previous mixed crossing: $\sigma_z + \sigma_{t0}$

  → ToF cut more effective at removing this type of BG

- Trigger requirement also helps (guarantees one photon of pair is in the current crossing)

- Check for remaining background A_{LL} dependence vs. mass to justify interpolation into peak region





Determination of Syst. Uncert. on RL

- i.e., what if our relative luminosity detector DOES see some spin asymmetry?



  - We use our minimum bias BBC (Beam Beam Counter) to measure R
  - ...and compare it with a detector past the DX magnetic field
    - ZDC: Zero Degree Calorimeter, no charged particles
  - We then assume the different physics they sample can't have the same asymmetry
    - so any non-zero asymm. in BBC should be apparent
  - Compare the two results to get the best estimate of systematic:

$$A_{syst} = \frac{1}{P_B P_Y} \frac{\left(\frac{N_{ZDC}}{N_{BBC}}\right)^{++} - \left(\frac{N_{ZDC}}{N_{BBC}}\right)^{+-}}{\left(\frac{N_{ZDC}}{N_{BBC}}\right)^{++} - \left(\frac{N_{ZDC}}{N_{BBC}}\right)^{+-}}$$

RL Syst. Throughout the Years

Run Year	A_{LL}^{R} (10^{-3})	$\Delta A_{LL}^{R}(\text{stat+syst})$ (10^{-3})
2005	0.42	0.23
2006	0.49	0.25
2009	1.18	0.21

- Take maximum overlap in A_{LL}^{R} as correlated
- Take also uncertainty on A_{LL}^{R} as part of systematic
  - 2009 total RL systematic uncertainty: $1.4e^{-3}$

Non-physical Asymmetries

- Non-physical “double-spin” asymmetries seen in longitudinal running between the BBC and ZDC:
  - Parity violating asymmetry:



    - $A_{PV} = 1.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$ in 2009

  - 180° rotation of the experiment:



    - $A_{180} = 82.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$ in 2009

Can these asymmetries be explained?

Model for Generation of Various False Asymmetries

- A left-right production asymmetry
- Coupled with a beam angle (or offset)
  - moves the high or low production side off the detector
  - generates a false asymmetry



Predictions of Model

	$\epsilon_{++ \text{ to } --}$	$\epsilon_{+- \text{ to } -+}$	$\epsilon_{++ \text{ to } +-}$	$\epsilon_{-- \text{ to } -+}$
Collinear Angle	$= (P_B + P_Y)\ \delta$	$= 0$	$= P_Y\ \delta$	$= -P_Y\ \delta$
Offsets	$= 0$	$= (P_B + P_Y)\ \varepsilon$	$= -P_Y\ \varepsilon$	$= P_Y\ \varepsilon$
Boosts	$= 0$	$= (P_B + P_Y)\ \varepsilon$	$= -P_Y\ \varepsilon$	$= P_Y\ \varepsilon$

- Key Feature: linear dependence on polarization
- δ, ε: acceptance modification factors, functions of angle, offset, or boost
- Important point: cross-check asymmetries which should be zero can be large under this effect!
  - failure to understand them would lead to additional systematic uncertainties

Run 2012 Collinear Beam Angle Scan





- Predicted to have largest variation in the Run12 scan of collinear beam angles
- Slope about ½ of simulation prediction

- Should not have changed much during scan
  - its dependence is on boosts and offsets

The End Result(s)



- 2009 measurement doubles existing statistics for η and π^0 asymmetries
- RL syst. larger than in previous runs
- η not included in global analysis



- **PRD90 (2014) 012007**
- **arXiv:1402.6296**

Comparison to Global Analyses

- Combined PHENIX results alongside various global analyses
  - DSSV08: DIS + SIDIS + PHENIX + STAR (up to 2006)
    - constrains $\Delta G^{[0.05,0.2]}_{DSSV08} = 0.005^{+0.129}_{-0.164}$
  - GRSV: older DIS-only analysis
  - BB10: DIS-only analysis
  - NNPDF: DIS + prelim. STAR W A_L
    - uses neural networks instead of PDF functional form
  - LSS10: DIS+SIDIS analysis



Adding 2009 PHENIX Data, Effect of RL Systematic Uncert.

- Added 2009 PHENIX π^0 A_{LL} to the DSSV08 analysis
  - along with updates of some prelim data to final
- DSSV08 global analysis did not include systematic uncertainties from the experiments
- Effect of shifting only PHENIX π^0 A_{LL} up or down by its total systematic uncertainty
  - dominated by systematic uncertainty on relative luminosity





Adding 2009 PHENIX Data, Effect of RL Systematic Uncert.





- Results of adding 2009 PHENIX π^0 A_{LL} to the DSSV08 analysis
  - along with updates of some prelim data to final:

$$\Delta G^{[0.05,0.2]} = 0.06^{+0.11}_{-0.15}$$

0.02 0.12

  - vs. previously: $\Delta G^{[0.05,0.2]}_{DSSV08} = 0.005^{+0.129}_{-0.164}$

Conclusions

- 2009 PHENIX and STAR final data already swiftly included in the DSSV global analysis
  - important to fully treat experimental systematic uncertainties to get the full picture (plus theoretical uncertainties)
  - Other final states + 500 GeV datasets (I. Yoon, next talk) also currently available or under analysis
    - Not only gives us more information on ΔG, but our best test of factorization



bnl.gov/npp/docs/RHIC-Spin-WriteUp-121105.pdf



arXiv:1404.4293

backup

Proton Sub-Structure & Parton Scattering

- High energy scattering with a nucleon (proton) probes the sub-structure
  - scattering with individual quarks, antiquarks, and gluons (partons)
- DIS:



- p+p:



- Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs), $f_i(x)$
  - describe statistical distribution of partons with momentum fraction



- *polarized* PDFs, $\Delta f_i(x)$
  - take into account spin along proton's spin axis

Transverse Spin Asymmetry A_N

- No physics A_{LL}s we are familiar with in the ZDC or BBC
- But we do know of a transverse, phi-dependent, forward, single-spin asymmetry in NEUTRON PRODUCTION
  - transverse: Goes away for longitudinally polarized beams (local polarimetry)
  - phi-dependent: integrates out over all of phi
  - forward: backward asymmetry 0; polarization of other beam irrelevant
  - single-spin: scales as polarization P (compared to P^2 for double spin asymmetries like A_{LL})





+ Beam Geometry

- Beams traverse IRs in "zero" magnetic field region
  - straight paths
- Intersection geometry of beams can be decomposed into three components (x 2 planes)

- Collinear Angle:



- Offset:



- Boost:



Run 12 Collinear Beam Angle Scan





- Under model, these two yellow beam asymmetries should be equal and opposite
- Slopes equal and opposite, but not intercepts

- Same logic applies to blue beam asymmetries
- both yellow and blue asymmetries average to ~2e-3